

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/768,571 | 01/29/2004 | Karla Weaver | 706177-2001 | 6350 |
| 7590 69/22/2911 Bingham McCutchen LLP 2020 K Street, NW | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | STIGELL, THEODORE J | |
| Washington, D | C 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3763 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/22/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/768.571 WEAVER ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit THEODORE STIGELL 3763 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) . (1) THEODORE STIGELL. (4)____. (2) DHRUV KAUSHAL. Date of Interview: 20 September 2011. □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Type: Personal (copy given to: applicant applicant's representative □ No If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 1-10,12-14,16-18,20 and 21. Identification of prior art discussed: NA. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Examiner contacted applicant's representative, Dhruv Kaushal, in order to cancel claims 1-10, 12-14, and 16-17 which were appealed and affirmed in the Board Decision 8/29/2011. Examiner agreed to allow claims 18 and 20-21. Mr. Kaushal agreed to file a supplemental response in order to add new dependent claims which were considered and approved by the examiner. Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL -413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)

/Theodore J Stigell/